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### PROVISIONAL PROGRAMME

**17 February 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.45 a.m.</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.30 a.m.</td>
<td>Welcoming remarks by the Speaker of the Chamber of Deputies of the Romanian Parliament and the Secretary General of the Inter-Parliamentary Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.45 a.m.</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 a.m.</td>
<td>Session I: Common human rights challenges in Central and Eastern Europe as identified by the Human Rights Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Although the Council adopts specific recommendations for each country, some human rights issues appear to be more frequently mentioned than others in the Universal Periodic Review (UPR) recommendations for countries in Central and Eastern Europe.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This session will examine how States in the region, with the help of parliaments, have been able to address some of these issues. In so doing, the session will focus in particular on promoting the rights of minorities and the proper administration of justice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30 a.m.</td>
<td>Session II: The role of parliaments in protecting human rights at the national level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Parliaments' powers to adopt legislation, oversee government action and adopt the national budget are critical in promoting human rights. Several parliaments, particularly in Central and Eastern Europe, have set up specific committees devoted to human rights in order to do this effectively.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This session will address the following main questions: how effectively have parliaments exercised their legislative, oversight and budgetary powers to promote human rights, and what differences have parliamentary human rights committees made to this end?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 p.m.</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Session III: Introduction to the Universal Periodic Review and parliamentary contribution to its functioning

This session aims to present the work and objectives of the Council and its UPR and how it relates to other UN human rights mechanisms, in particular the UN treaty bodies and special procedures. The session will also look at some lessons to be learned from the first and second UPR cycles.

Without parliamentary action - be it passing laws or overseeing government action - very few UPR recommendations would actually be implemented. Parliaments can play a critical role in the implementation phase and beyond. They can also discuss the draft national report of the UPR process before it is presented to the Council, and take part in its official presentation to the Council.

This session will thus look at parliaments’ contribution to the different stages of the UPR process.

Debate

Coffee break

Session IV: Parliaments’ contribution to the work of the UN CEDAW Committee: A shining example of strong parliamentary involvement

The UN Committee supervising implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) has a very similar reporting procedure to that of the Council’s UPR. For several years now, parliaments and the CEDAW Committee, with the assistance of the IPU, have been cooperating to help ensure that CEDAW is effectively implemented at the national level.

This session will look at the lessons to be learned from the CEDAW experience to enhance parliaments’ contribution to the UPR.

Debate

Wrap-up session

18 February 2014

Session V: National case studies of involvement in the UPR

The executive - often together with national human rights institutions - is in the driver’s seat in preparing the UPR reporting cycle and ensuring implementation of its recommendations. Parliaments are often largely unaware of and uninvolved in the UPR process, although this is slowly changing.

This session will look at two interesting national case studies of how the UPR report was prepared and how the UPR recommendations have been implemented. It will examine in particular the involvement of parliament in the process and its interaction with other human rights stakeholders.

Debate

Coffee break
11 a.m.  
**Session VI: What place for human rights in the post-2015 development agenda?**

*States are negotiating a new set of goals to replace the Millennium Development Goals when they expire in 2015. A new generation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) will need to be agreed for all countries so as to galvanize action on the economic, social and environmental priorities of our time. Several voices in the international human rights community are pressing for human rights principles to be at the heart of the SDGs. By embedding the goals in existing international human rights conventions, the idea is to make their implementation mandatory, rather than voluntary, and to facilitate the participation of all sectors of society in formulating and implementing them.*

This session will address the ongoing discussions about the SDGs, the benefits and implications of grounding them in existing human rights treaties, and the steps that parliaments can take to help achieve this.

**Debate**

12.15 p.m.  
Summing up by the Rapporteur of the Seminar

12.30 p.m.  
Closing session of the seminar

1.30 p.m.  
Lunch

3 p.m.  
**Sightseeing tour (optional)**

Visit of the Royal Palace