

A road less travelled: Parliamentary approaches to conflict prevention, reconciliation, and peace building

Annual Parliamentary Hearing United Nations Headquarters 6-7 December 2012



Provisional Programme

Session I: overview and	d general questions	Dec. 6	10:00 a.m 1:00 p.m.	
Opening session			30 min	
Welcome remarks from the	Hon. Abdelwahad Radi, President of the Inter-Parliamentary Union			
leadership: UN and IPU	H.E. Rodney Charles ,Vice President of the General Assembly			
working together for peace.	H.E. Jan Eliasson, Deputy Secretary-General of the United Nations			
General discussion	<i>Leading questions</i> 120 min			
The discussion will follow an interview format in the style of	How are the three concepts defined, and how are they interrelated?			
the Davos World Economic Forum. No formal presentations will be made.	What determines the success or failure of efforts to prevent conflict, achieve reconciliation, or build a stable peace?			
With the help of a moderator, high level personalities will be invited to define the main	Is the United Nations sufficientl operationally, to respond to suc		institutionally and	
challenges within the three topics of the hearing - conflict prevention, reconciliation and peace building – both in	Should the UN attach greater importance to engaging parliamentarians in peace mediation and national reconciliation exercises?			
general and with respect to specific conflict scenarios around the world. This will be	How can the international community and national actors such as parliaments support each other in the common quest for peace?			
followed by a Q&A with the audience.	What explains the low representation of women in peace negotiation and mediation missions?			
	Interviewees			
	H.E. Mr. Ranko Vilović, Permanent Representative of the Republic of Croatia to the United Nations, Vice-Chair, UN Peace Building Commission			
	Ms. Marta Ruedas, Deputy Director, Bureau for Crisis Prevention and Recovery, UNDP			
	Ms. Saraswathi Menon, Director, Policy Division, UN Women			
	Mr. Tayé-Brook Zerihoun, Assistant Secretary-General for Political Affairs			
	Pauline Baker, President Emeritus, The Fund for Peace			
	Moderator: James Traub, journalist and author			

Session II: Learning from experience		Dec. 6	3:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.
Case I: Kenya	Video presentation		5 min
	Leading questions		80 min

This session will illustrate the case of a parliament pro- actively playing a role in <u>conflict prevention</u> in connection with electoral processes. For background, please consult the hand-out. The discussion will be "Davos- style," with no formal presentations and a moderator leading the discussion. It will be followed by an interactive discussion with the audience, led by the moderator.	 What mechanisms can parliaments establish to prevent violent conflict as a result of its own political processes? What mechanisms can parliaments take to address political developments external to the institutional processes? What measures can parliaments take to prevent violent conflict through a more inclusive decision-making process? How important are mediation efforts by the international community for establishing political stability? How can the process be strengthened through greater engagement of parliament? What measures should the parliament of Kenya take to prevent violence in view of elections in 2013? <i>Interviewees</i> Hon. Kenneth Marende, Speaker of the National Assembly of Kenya
	Hon. Mélégué Traore, Member of Parliament, Burkina Faso
	Mr. Peter Gastrow, Director of Programs and Senior Fellow, International Peace Institute
	Moderator: Gayle Lemmon, journalist and author
Case II: Sierra Leone	Video presentation 5 min
	Leading questions 80 min
This session will illustrate a case in which the parliament has played a role in <u>reconciliation.</u> For background, please consult the hand-out.	How can parliament strengthen communication and cooperation with constituents and civil society? What more can the international community do to enhance relations between parliament and the people?
The discussion will be "Davos- style," with no formal presentations and a moderator leading the discussion. It will be followed by an interactive discussion	How can political parties be made to cooperate more effectively? What incentives can be given to political parties to create stronger policy platforms that are not based on ethnic or cultural identities? What are the challenges that countries face in their reconciliation efforts when the flow of international aid decreases?
with the audience, led by the	Interviewees
moderator.	Hon. Edward Amin Soloku, (fmr. Member of parliament), Sierra Leone
	H.E. Mr. Shekou M. Touray, Permanent Representative of Sierra Leone to the United Nations
	Mr. Eloho Ejeviome Otobo, Director and Deputy Head, Peacebuilding Support Office
	Lansana Gberie, Research Analyst, Security Council Report
	Moderator: Gayle Lemmon, journalist and author
Closing	10 min
	nd overview of the activities the following day [TBD]
Reception	6 p.m. – 8 p.m.

All welcome: IPU Office, Uganda House, 336 East 45th Street (10th floor)

Session III: Learning from experience		Dec. 7	10:00 a.m.	- 1:00 p.m.
Case III: Timor-Leste	Video presentation			5 min
	Leading questions			60 min
This session will illustrate the case of a parliament playing a role in <u>peace building.</u> For	What enables effective cooperation toward national progress among political parties with different ideologies?			
background, please consult the hand-out.	What measures can be taken to prevent possible backlashes resulting from the end of a UN peace mission?			
The discussion will be "Davos- style," with no formal	Interviewees Hon. Guillaume Kibgafori Soro, President of the National Assembly, Cote d'Ivoire			
presentations and a moderator leading the				ly, Cote
discussion. It will be followed	Mr. Anders B. Johnsson, Secr	etary General of the	Inter-Parliamentar	y Union
by an interactive discussion with the audience, led by the	UN representative (<i>tbc</i>)	-		
moderator.	Moderator: Nicholas Walbrid	lge. Research Anal	vst. Security Cound	cil Report
Roundtable discussions	Leading questions (provision		, ,	120 min
Participants will be invited to	A. What are the condit	-	narliamentary	40 min
form group discussions around	involvement in conf			
tables (organized by	and peace-building		concination,	
languages: English, French, Spanish, or Arabic.	B. How can regional co		t national	
Spunish, of Arabic.	political stability?		t national	
Each table, consisting of 6-8	C. How can the UN mc	ro offoctivoly prov	ont conflict	
participants, will be assigned	and how can the UN			
one or two questions. The	parliaments in its pe			
questions taken as a whole will be designed to address both		-		
national and international	D. What can women sp stability?		ite to long-term	
dimensions	E. How can inter-parlia	monton dinlomo	ouwork to holp	
	restore peace?	intentary dipiona	cy work to help	
Each table will appoint a "rapporteur" who will be given	F. What mechanisms of	an onhanco coon	oration among	
up to 3 minutes to present	political parties?			
his/her group's discussion.	· ·	ators of political	violonco ho hold	
mi i	G. How should perpetr accountable?	ators of political v	loience be neiu	
The group discussion is not meant to reach agreement but		ct cocioty achieve	just and	
to simply share views and	 H. How can post-confli inclusive reconciliat 	=	just anu	
experiences. The rapporteurs				
will be asked to highlight	I. How can parliament			
points of agreement and disagreement.	organizations accou intervention?		uits of their	
uisugreement.		afarma hath an i	astional and	
	J. How can economic international levels,			
	international levels,	support reconcline		
	Summary of main argument	s & reports by grou	ip rapporteurs	80 min
	Facilitators			
	Mr. Alessandro Motter (Seni	or Advisor) and M	s. Karin Riedl (Con	sultant), IPU
			1	

Session IV: Summary of findings

Dec. 7

3:00 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.

Parliamentary Motion	Debate question 20 min
This segment will be in the	According to the UN Charter, the Security Council has primary responsibility
format of the Doha Debates	for the maintenance of international peace and security. Resolutions of the
popularized by the BBC.	Security Council are binding and have the force of international law. Yet, the
	democratic nature of this body has long been called into question and reform
Assisted by a moderator, two	efforts have thus far failed to find a consensus solution. A recent IPU
debaters will argue for the	resolution (126 th Assembly, Kampala) " <i>demands reform of the membership of</i>
"motion" and two debaters	the UN Security Council in the near future, particularly regarding its permanent
will argue against it. Each debater will have up to four	members, that is adapted to the new power balances in the world and gives the
minutes to present his/her	Security Council the credibility and effectiveness that it needs in the 21st century
argument. This will be	to promote peace and international security, as distinct from the post -1945
followed by direct questions	era."
from participants until closing	eru.
arguments and a final Yes or	To explore these issues, the debate will focus on the question:
No vote on the motion by a	To explore these issues, the debate will focus on the question.
simple show of hands.	Would a more inclusive membership of the Security Council lead to greater
	<u>alobal stability?</u>
Given the informal nature of	<u>yiobur stubility:</u>
the hearing, the "motion" will	Debaters
not be binding. Its main purpose is to help flesh out the	Hon. Patrice Martin-Lalande, National Assembly of France, Member of the
various aspects of the matter	Advisory Group of the IPU Committee on United Nations Affairs
with the contribution of as	H.E. Mr. Hardeep Singh Puri, Permanent Representative of India to the United
many participants as possible.	Nations
	H.E. Mr. Eduardo Ulibarri, Permanent Representative of Costa Rica to the
	United Nations
	Hans Corell, fmr. USG for Legal Affairs and Legal Counsel of the United Nations
	Moderator: Bruno Stagno Ugarte, Executive Director, Security Council Report
	Q&A with participants 40 min
	Final vote by all participants (show of hand)
Review of participants' inp	put 75 min
The answers collected from	This session will examine whether the arguments brought forward in the
the morning roundtables will	previous session find general agreement among the participants, highlighting
be reviewed in their totality	different experiences or additional insights. The discussion will provide an
with the help of a presentation on screen.	opportunity for participants to suggest alternative or additional arguments.
on screen.	
	Facilitator
	Martin Chungong, Deputy Secretary General, Inter-Parliamentary Union
Hearing evaluation	5 min
	fill out an evaluation questionnaire
Closing statements	10 min
Loncluding remarks by Ander	rs B. Johnsson, Secretary General of the Inter-Parliamentary Union